IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Revision No. 80 of 1992

Date of Decision :- April 20, 2007

Sobha Ram ....PETITIONER

VERSUS

State of Haryana ....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MEHTAB S.GILL

Present:- Mr. K.G.Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kulvir Narwal, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana.

MEHTAB S.GILL, J.

I have heard the arguments of learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner after taking instructions from his client has stated, that there is no infirmity in the judgments of the learned trial Court and Additional Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra, but a lenient view be taken on the quantum of sentence, as occurrence is of the year 1982 and appeal is pending in this Court since 1992.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further states, that the petitioner is not a previous offender. It was a technical offence. Having

regarding to the antecedents of the petitioner, he may be given benefit under the Probation of Offenders Act.

After taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, petitioner is ordered to be released on probation of good conduct under the Probation of Offenders Act, 1958 on furnishing a personal bond in the sum of Rs.10,000/-, with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Kurukshetra, with an undertaking to keep peace and of good behaviour for a period of three months. The bonds will be furnished within one month from the date of obtaining a copy of this order. The fine, if already paid, will be treated as cost of proceedings of this case.

Criminal Revision is disposed of accordingly.

April 20, 2007 **(MEHTAB S.GILL)**
S.K.Arora **JUDGE**